

MHHS Testing and Migration Advisory Group (TMAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 23/09/22

Meeting number	TMAG 009	Venue	Virtual – MS Teams
Date and time	22 September 2022 14:00-16:00	Classification	Public

Actions

Area	Action Ref	Action	Owner	Due Date	Update
N/a					

Decisions

Area	Decision Ref	Description
Minutes	TMAG-DEC13	The TMAG approved the minutes of the meeting held 17 August 2022.

RAID Items

RAID area	Description
N/a	

Key Discussion Items

Area	Discussion
Programme replan	<p>The Programme provided an overview of the replan Round 2 consultation. Round 1's consultation communicated indicative activity durations, while Round 2 reflects the feedback received and a revised approach to SIT and migration. The purpose of Round 2 is to challenge the indicative timelines and result in a plan that delivers MHHS in the shortest realistic and practicable timescale. Responses to the Round 2 consultation should provide evidence to either substantiate or refute the proposed timings shown in the two POAPs, each of which represents a different approach to the programme. PPs' own plans are the most valuable substantiating evidence.</p> <p><u>POAP 1</u></p>

	<p>The primary difference between POAP 1 and the Round 1 plan are changes to SIT and to the approach to migration. The intention is to allow all PPs to enter SIT who wish to do so and can complete their relevant DBT on time (with each PP given a month's flexibility on SIT start date in case of last-minute issues). Once SIT is running, a "Minimum Viable Cohort" (MVC) of fast-moving PPs will be identified and these will be allowed to test as a group in order to complete SIT and proceed into live operation as quickly as possible. SIT participants who are not in the MVC will be able to continue with SIT and go live when ready. The composition of the MVC will not take account of any commercial relationships between parties and is simply a mechanism for testing.</p> <p>It was confirmed registration services are not considered a central system, though at least one (i)DNO will be needed for SIT and all (i)DNOs will be needed for go-live. There is a Programme assumption all (i)DNOs will be ready at the same time as central systems for go-live, as they are an enabler for all other PPs. If an (i)DNO does not participate in SIT, they will need to go through Qualification Testing.</p> <p><u>POAP 2</u></p> <p>This is a 'challenge' timeline where the Programme is looking for comments on feasibility. Evidence should be provided to refute or support this timeline where possible and comments are welcomed on feasibility compared with POAP1.</p> <p>POAP2 contains a compressed DBT. Also, SIT PPs will be chosen by the Programme and would need to be ready by M9 with no grace period. This is intended to incentivise hitting the early SIT date which allows earlier SIT exit and therefore earlier realisation of commercial benefits.</p> <p><u>Integration Testing</u></p> <p>The slides set out expectations from PPs and from the Programme. This is intended to show clear accountability and responsibility for different aspects of integration testing and applies to all participants. For central systems and other PPs taking part in SIT, the Programme will carry out assurance on PIT. For non-SIT participants, a sample will be assured by the SI (~10-15%) and all will be assured by BSC PAB as a prelude to starting Qualification Testing. The Programme will provide coordination but the code bodies will need to define the qualification itself as they own the process.</p> <p><u>Qualification</u></p> <p>It was noted qualification has a procedural element, the Self-Assessment Document, which will need to be amended by BSC to account for the changes MHHS introduces. All PPs will need to complete the SAD process regardless of whether or not they participate in SIT. The Programme will want evidence of back-end systems and processes being tested as part of qualification.</p> <p><u>Migration</u></p> <p>The Programme suggested PPs go through these elements of the plan and reach out to the Programme if they have any questions.</p>
<p>Working Group Updates</p>	<p>The Programme provided an update on activity at the recent TMAG working groups:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data Working Group (DWG) – the Programme confirmed the October meeting would be going ahead after a two-month hiatus. The October DWG will discuss data cleansing and quality of data.

- | | |
|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Environments and Configurations Management Working Group (EWG) – the Programme highlighted the Environments Approach and Plan document is in internal review and requires more information for planning. This will be issued by the Programme next week.• Qualification and E2E Sandbox Working Group (QWG) – the Programme noted discussions at the second meeting focused on the procedural elements of qualification. The upcoming meeting will discuss the qualification approach in more detail.• Migration Working Group (MWG) – the Programme summarised the latest MWG had the design team in attendance to discuss the mechanics of migration and how this might work. |
|--|---|

Date of next meeting: 19 October 2022